

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/022,885

Applicant(s)

SIMON ET AL.

Examiner

Michael G. Hartley

Art Unit

1616

All Participants:

(1) Michael G. Hartley.

(2) Elisabeth Jozwiak (Appl. repr.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 February 2004

Time: 2:50 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

6-9

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested and it was agreed upon to cancel dependent claims 6-9, as these claims are no longer within the scope of base claim 1, as amended in the response filed 1/29/2004, which limits X to sulfonate, while claims 6-9 have X as an amine.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)